Theory and Practice of Science and Technology
2025, VOL. 6, NO. 8, 275-278
DOI: 10.47297/taposatWSP2633-456952.20250608

Research on Innovative Teaching Reform of Python Courses in Universities Based on Artificial Intelligence Tools

Xuefen Zhang

School of Modern Information Industry, Guangzhou College of Commerce, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 511363, China

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents both challenges and opportunities for programming education. Traditional teaching modes in Python courses often struggle to keep pace with industrial demands and technological advancements, leading to a gap between acquired skills and practical application. This paper analyzes the prevalent issues in current Python teaching, including disconnection from AI frontiers, monotonous pedagogy, insufficient resources, a single evaluation system, and lack of deep industry-academia collaboration. In response, we propose a systematic reform plan centered on a "Three Integrations, Three Innovations, and Three Links" framework. This model emphasizes the deep integration of artificial intelligence tools, such as DeepSeek and intelligent code analysis tools, a blended dual-mentor teaching approach, and the construction of a multidimensional evaluation system. By restructuring course content, innovating practice models, and forging a seamless cultivation loop, the reform aims to enhance students' practical and innovative abilities. The paper also discusses potential challenges in implementation and corresponding strategies. This model provides a replicable and scalable reference for the reform of programming courses in the context of "New Engineering Education" in universities.

KEYWORDS

Teaching reform; Artificial Intelligence (AI); Educational evaluation; Python courses

1 Introduction

Python has solidified its position as a cornerstone programming language in the era of data science, machine learning, and artificial intelligence. Its simplicity and versatility make it an ideal entry point for students and a powerful tool for professionals[1-3]. Consequently, "Python Programming" has become a fundamental course across numerous disciplines in higher education[4-5]. However, the traditional pedagogy associated with this course, often focused narrowly on syntax and basic algorithmic concepts, is increasingly misaligned with the dynamic needs of the modern digital economy. This disconnect results in graduates who may understand theoretical principles but lack the practical competence and innovative mindset required to solve complex, real-world problems.

This paper seeks to address this critical gap by proposing a comprehensive and innovative teaching reform model. Building on an analysis of current shortcomings, we introduce a structured framework that leverages AI tools to revitalize course content, teaching methodology, resource allocation, and evaluation mechanisms. The primary objective is to construct a student-centric, ability-oriented teaching ecosystem that effectively bridges academia and industry, thereby cultivating high-quality, compound talents equipped for the challenges of the future workforce.

2 Analysis of the current situation of python course teaching

The current teaching situation of Python courses in universities generally has several problems that urgently need to be addressed:

2.1 Disjunction between course content and AI frontiers

Teaching materials frequently remain anchored in foundational syntax and basic programming concepts, with minimal or superficial integration of cutting-edge AI technologies such as natural language processing, computer vision, or machine learning frameworks. For instance, courses often omit hands-on projects involving real-world AI applications, which renders the knowledge acquired by students less transferable to contemporary industrial projects and innovation-driven environments, thereby widening the gap between academic theory and practical industry demands.

2.2 Monotonous teaching mode and inadequate practical application

The predominant lecture-based model, characterized by instructor-centered delivery, fosters passive learning and limits student engagement. While laboratory sessions are sometimes incorporated into curricula, they are often confirmatory exercises focused on verifying theoretical concepts rather than exploratory tasks that encourage independent problem-solving. This deficiency fails to sufficiently develop students' critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and innovative capacities, as learners miss opportunities to tackle open-ended challenges or collaborate on complex, real-life coding scenarios.

2.3 Scarcity of personalized teaching resources

Heavy reliance on standard textbooks, static presentation slides, and one-size-fits-all materials characterizes the resource pool, with little variation to accommodate diverse learning paces or styles. There is a notable deficiency in intelligent, adaptive learning platforms powered by AI algorithms, such as those offering customized feedback or dynamic content adjustments, which severely limits opportunities for personalized learning pathways. Consequently, this leads to divergent learning outcomes, where high-achieving students may stagnate while struggling learners lack targeted support to bridge knowledge gaps effectively.

2.4 Unidimensional evaluation system

Assessment is predominantly based on high-stakes final examinations that prioritize rote memorization and theoretical knowledge recall over holistic skill development. This approach overlooks the critical evaluation of practical skills, such as coding proficiency in live environments, project execution capabilities involving team-based development, and innovative thinking through creative problem-solving tasks. As a result, it provides an incomplete picture of student competency, failing to reflect abilities essential for career success in technology-driven fields like data science or software engineering.

2.5 Superficial industry-academia collaboration

Despite institutional emphasis on fostering partnerships, the depth and breadth of school-enterprise collaborations in Python courses are often insufficient, manifesting as token guest lectures, brief internships, or project-based assignments without sustained engagement[6-8]. Students consequently have limited exposure to authentic enterprise projects, real-time industry scenarios, or mentorship from professionals, hindering their ability to contextualize and apply their learning to solve actual business problems or adapt to evolving market needs, which ultimately diminishes their readiness for the workforce.

3 Reform plan: the "three integrations, three innovations, three links" model

3.1 "Three integrations" for comprehensive resource optimization

The “Three Integrations” framework is a strategic approach designed to break down traditional barriers in education. This dimension focuses on strategically aggregating and leveraging high-quality resources by integrating industry, academic research, and technology into the educational framework. The core objective is to create a dynamic, relevant, and future-oriented learning ecosystem that equips students with both foundational knowledge and practical, industry-ready skills.

First, the integration of industry and academia aims to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. This is achieved by systematically incorporating authentic enterprise-level projects and prevailing industry standards into the curriculum. We facilitate this through close collaboration with corporate partners to co-develop course modules and establish joint laboratories. Such initiatives ensure that the pedagogical content remains aligned with current market demands and equips students with immediately relevant, real-world problem-solving skills.

Second, the integration of scientific research and education serves to create a research-informed teaching environment. We actively transform frontier research outcomes, such as novel machine learning algorithms and theoretical frameworks, into structured teaching cases and project-based learning opportunities. This methodology not only enriches the curriculum with state-of-the-art knowledge but also fosters a culture of inquiry and innovation, exposing students to the dynamic evolution of the artificial intelligence field.

Finally, the integration of technology and academia involves the deep embedding of advanced AI tools throughout the instructional process to create an intelligently augmented learning ecosystem. For example, platforms for automated code generation and analysis provide students with instant, formative feedback, while virtual simulation environments allow for the deployment and evaluation of complex models in realistic scenarios. This technological scaffolding enables personalized learning pathways, enhances pedagogical efficiency, and provides a more immersive and effective educational experience.

These three integrations form a virtuous and synergistic cycle. The Industry-Academia Integration ensures relevance and practicality, which is continuously refreshed by the frontier knowledge from Science-Education Integration; meanwhile, the Technology-Academia Integration provides the powerful tools and platforms that enable and enhance the efficiency of the entire process. This interconnected system strategically orchestrates previously isolated resources to create a dynamic, real-world-aligned, and intelligently supported educational environment.

3.2 "Three innovations" for pedagogical breakthrough

The “Three Innovations” dimension constitutes a core driver for achieving pedagogical breakthroughs by fundamentally re-engineering the essential elements of teaching and learning. It strategically targets innovation at three critical junctures: course structure, practical models, and evaluation mechanisms. The core objective is to foster a dynamic, student-centered, and capability-oriented learning environment that proactively responds to the evolving demands of the AI industry and cultivates sustainable competitive competencies in students.

First, the innovation in course structure aims to deconstruct and rebuild the traditional curriculum into a cohesive and industry-relevant system. This is achieved by implementing a modular "Python + AI" architecture that is meticulously designed to cover the complete workflow of a modern AI project—from data acquisition and analysis to model development, training, and final engineering deployment. This systematic approach ensures that students gain not only fragmented knowledge but also a holistic, project-centric skill set that is directly applicable to real-world challenges.

Second, the innovation in the practice model seeks to transcend the limitations of the conventional classroom by establishing a blended, dual-mentor learning ecosystem. We strategically combine the constant, scalable support of an online AI platform, which provides 24/7 access to resources and guidance, with the nuanced, high-touch mentorship of instructors and industry experts during intensive offline project practice. This synergy effectively breaks the mold of passive, one-way knowledge transmission, fostering an active learning culture centered on collaboration, problem-solving, and professional readiness.

Finally, the innovation in evaluation mechanisms moves beyond the constraints of standardized final exams to implement a comprehensive and authentic assessment framework. This is accomplished by integrating genuine enterprise project acceptance standards and leveraging AI-driven dynamic learning profiles that track student progress and competency development over time.

These elements form a robust, three-dimensional evaluation system that accurately measures and values student proficiency in "Theory + Practice + Innovation, " thereby offering a holistic and forward-looking measure of their comprehensive abilities.

3.3 "Three links" for seamless capability cultivation

The “Three Links” framework establishes a cohesive and progressive pathway for talent development. This dimension ensures the logical and sequential cultivation of student competencies by strategically connecting the key processes of teaching, resource allocation, and capability building. The core objective is to create a synergistic ecosystem where each stage of learning is purposefully designed, fully resourced, and directly aligned with the development of specific, market-relevant skills.

First, linking the teaching chain creates a scaffolded learning journey that systematically guides students from foundational knowledge to advanced application. This progression begins with mastering core theoretical concepts, moves to experimental verification in controlled labs, advances to solving authentic enterprise projects, and culminates in honing skills through academic competitions and innovation challenges. This structured pathway ensures that learning is incremental, applied, and continuously challenging.

Second, linking the resource chain consolidates disparate educational assets into a unified and dynamic ecosystem. We integrate a wide range of materials—from standard textbooks and online case libraries to AI-assisted platforms and enterprise resource banks—into a centralized, open-access portal. This integration ensures that resources are not isolated, but are interconnected, tagged, and dynamically updated to directly support each stage of the teaching and learning process, providing students with the right tool at the right time.

Finally, linking the ability chain defines a clear and measurable trajectory for student growth. It moves beyond vague goals to systematically cultivate a defined set of competencies: starting with a solid foundation in programming and problem-solving, progressing through advanced engineering practice and project management, and ultimately achieving the capacity for independent innovative application. This explicit mapping ensures that every teaching activity and resource is directly contributing to the development of these target abilities.

These three links form an interdependent system. The progressive teaching chain is supported by the integrated resource chain to directly and efficiently build the targeted ability chain, ensuring a seamless and comprehensive cultivation of student potential.

4 Challenges and responses

While the proposed educational reform model presents a promising framework for modernizing AI education, its implementation is likely to encounter several significant challenges that require carefully designed strategic responses. These challenges span across faculty development, resource allocation, pedagogical transformation, and assessment methodology, each demanding targeted solutions to ensure successful adoption.

Firstly, regarding faculty readiness and development, the transition to this innovative model may reveal gaps in instructors' practical experience with both advanced AI tools and authentic industry projects. This challenge can be addressed through a comprehensive professional development program that includes targeted training workshops focused on specific AI teaching platforms and project management tools. Additionally, establishing structured collaborative R&D initiatives with industry partners can provide faculty with direct exposure to current industry practices and challenges, thereby enhancing their ability to bridge theoretical concepts with practical applications in the classroom. Secondly, the model faces potential resource and technical barriers, particularly the substantial investment required for developing and maintaining sophisticated digital platforms and AI tools. A multi-pronged approach can effectively mitigate these constraints: actively seeking institutional and governmental funding opportunities for educational innovation; strategically leveraging high-quality open-source solutions to reduce development costs; and establishing long-term, mutually beneficial partnerships with technology firms that can provide both technical resources and industry expertise. Thirdly, resistance to pedagogical change represents a significant human factor challenge, as both educators and students may be accustomed to traditional lecture-based instruction. This can be overcome through a phased implementation strategy that begins with carefully selected pilot classes to demonstrate effectiveness and build confidence. Simultaneously, a clear communication campaign should articulate the tangible benefits of the new approach to all stakeholders, supplemented by showcasing early success stories and best practices to build momentum and wider acceptance.

Finally, the design and implementation of a fair and effective multidimensional evaluation system presents methodological challenges, particularly in balancing subjective project assessments with objective performance metrics. This can be achieved through a balanced assessment framework that leverages AI tools for quantitative analysis of concrete deliverables like code quality and project milestones, while employing detailed, criterion-referenced rubrics to guide the qualitative evaluation of innovative aspects through combined peer, self, and instructor assessment. This integrated approach ensures comprehensive evaluation while maintaining reliability and fairness across different assessment dimensions.

5 Conclusion

This paper has presented a comprehensive teaching reform model for Python programming education, structured around the practical framework of "Three Integrations, Three Innovations, and Three Links." Unlike theoretical proposals, this model offers concrete solutions to address the persistent challenges in traditional programming education.

The model's strength lies in its systematic approach to curriculum redesign. By establishing industry-academia partnerships, we integrate authentic enterprise projects and cutting-edge research directly into the curriculum. This ensures students gain exposure to real-world problem contexts while mastering fundamental programming concepts. The modular "Python + AI" course structure further supports this goal by organizing learning content along practical workflow lines, from data acquisition to model deployment.

Our pedagogical innovations center on creating a more engaging and effective learning environment. The dual-mentor system combines the scalability of AI-powered platforms with the nuanced guidance of human instructors. This approach not only provides academic support but also maintains the essential human element in education. Meanwhile, the multidimensional evaluation system represents a significant departure from conventional assessment methods, valuing practical implementation and innovative thinking alongside theoretical understanding.

The implementation strategy acknowledges practical constraints while offering viable pathways forward. Through phased implementation starting with pilot courses, institutions can gradually build faculty capacity and refine the model based on real feedback. Strategic partnerships with technology companies and other stakeholders help mitigate resource constraints while ensuring the curriculum remains aligned with industry evolution. This model demonstrates that meaningful educational reform requires more than technological adoption—it demands a holistic rethinking of how we teach, assess, and support student development. By bridging the gap between academic instruction and professional requirements, the approach equips students with both the technical skills and problem-solving capabilities needed in today's digital economy. For institutions seeking to modernize their programming curricula, this model provides a tested framework that balances innovation with practicality. The structured yet flexible nature of the approach allows for adaptation across different institutional contexts while maintaining the core principles of industry relevance, pedagogical effectiveness, and comprehensive student development. As programming education continues to evolve, this model offers a sustainable path forward—one that prepares students not just for their first job, but for a lifetime of adapting to new technologies and challenges in the dynamic field of software development and artificial intelligence.

References

[1] Yushan Xu.An Exploration of Course Teaching Reform Based on Python Programming Language Design[J].New Explorations in Education and Teaching,2025,3(7).

[2] Yan Liu,Xiaoqi Jia.Research on Teaching Reform of Python Programming under the Computational Thinking Perspective[J].Higher Education and Practice,2025,2(5):

[3] Jinliang Liang. Teaching Reform and Exploration of Python Programming Course Based on Knowledge Graph[J]. Journal of Educational Research and Policies,2025,7(1):24-29.

[4] Liu Lei.A Probe Into the Mixed Teaching Reform of Python Language Programming[J].Journal of Electronic Research and Application,2024,8(6): 66-71.

[5] Zhou Ayuan.Research on The Mixed Teaching Mode of Python Language Programming Course[J].Education Reform and Development,2024,6 (4):25-29.

[6] Dandan Song. Research on the teaching reform of Python programming curriculum based on the OBE-CDIO concept[J]. Frontiers in Educational Research,2022,5.0(11.0).

[7] Feng Guo. Reform and Practice of Mixed Teaching in the Course of Python Programming[J]. Academic Journal of Computing & Information Science,2021,4.0(1.0).

[8] Xiaoxu Xiao.The diversified teaching reform of The mixed flipped classroom Python Foundation course is aimed at deep learning[J].E3S Web of Conferences,2020,21802001.